

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND DIVISION

PAUL PARSHALL, Individually and On
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

LATTICE SEMICONDUCTOR
CORPORATION, DARIN G. BILLERBECK,
JOHN BOURGOIN, ROBIN ABRAMS,
BRIAN BEATTIE, ROBERT HERB,
MARK JENSEN, JEFF RICHARDSON,
FRED WEBER, CANYON BRIDGE
CAPITAL PARTNERS, INC., CANYON
BRIDGE ACQUISITION COMPANY, INC.,
and CANYON BRIDGE MERGER SUB,
INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00035-SI

CLASS ACTION

**STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND
ORDER**

WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed the above-captioned action (the “Action”) challenging, among other things, the public disclosures made in connection with the proposed acquisition of Lattice Semiconductor Corporation (“Lattice”) by Canyon Bridge Capital Partners, Inc., Canyon Bridge Acquisition Company, Inc., and Canyon Bridge Merger Sub, Inc., (collectively “Canyon Bridge”) pursuant to a definitive agreement and plan of merger filed by Lattice with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) on or around November 3, 2016 (the “Transaction”);

WHEREAS, the Action asserted claims for violations of sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 based on Lattice’s preliminary proxy statement filed with the SEC on or around December 28, 2016;

WHEREAS, on January 27, 2017, Lattice filed its definitive proxy statement containing additional disclosures that mooted Plaintiff's claims;

WHEREAS, it is the current intention of counsel for Plaintiff to submit an application for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses (the "Fee and Expense Application") in connection with the mooted claims;

WHEREAS, all of the Defendants in the Action reserve all rights, arguments and defenses, including the right to oppose any potential Fee and Expense Application;

WHEREAS, no class has been certified in the Action;

WHEREAS, for the avoidance of doubt, no compensation in any form has passed directly or indirectly to Plaintiff or his attorneys and no promise, understanding, or agreement to give any such compensation has been made, nor have the parties had any discussions concerning the amount of any mootness fee application or award;

WHEREAS, Defendants have denied and continue to deny any wrongdoing and contend that no claim asserted in the Action was ever meritorious;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby agree, subject to the approval of the Court, that:

1. The Action is dismissed, and all claims asserted therein are dismissed with prejudice as to Plaintiff only. All claims as to the putative class are dismissed without prejudice.
2. Because the dismissal is with prejudice as to Plaintiff only, and not on behalf of a putative class, notice of this dismissal is not required.
3. The Court retains jurisdiction of the Action solely for the purpose of determining Plaintiff's forthcoming Fee and Expense Application, if that becomes necessary.

4. This Order is entered without prejudice to any right, position, claim or defense any party may assert with respect to the Fee and Expense Application, which includes the Defendants' right to oppose the Fee and Expense Application.

5. To the extent that the parties are unable to reach an agreement concerning the Fee and Expense Application, they may contact the Court regarding a schedule and hearing to present such application to the Court.

6. Upon completion of briefing, the parties shall promptly contact the Court to schedule argument regarding Plaintiffs' Fee and Expense Application at a time convenient to the Court.

7. If the parties reach an agreement concerning the Fee and Expense Application, they will notify the Court. Upon such notification, the Court will close the Action.

Pursuant to LR 11-1(d)(2), counsel signing below consent to their signatures on this submission.

IT IS SO STIPULATED this 13th day of March, 2017.

OF COUNSEL:

RIGRODSKY & LONG, P.A.

Brian D. Long
Gina M. Serra
2 Righter Parkway, Suite 120
Wilmington, DE 19803
(302) 295-5310

RM LAW, P.C.

Richard A. Maniskas
1055 Westlakes Dr.,
Suite 3112
Berwyn, PA 19312
(484) 324-6800

STOLL BERNE

s/ Timothy S. DeJong

Timothy S. DeJong, OSB No. 940662
209 SW Oak St, Suite 500
Portland, OR 97204
(503) 227-1600
tdejong@stollberne.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

OF COUNSEL:

**SKADDEN ARPS SLATE
MEAGHER & FLOM LLP**

Amy S. Park
525 University Avenue, Suite 1400
Palo Alto, CA 94301
(650) 470-4500

PERKINS COIE LLP

s/ Cody M. Weston

Cody M. Weston, OSB No. 984290
1120 NW Couch Street, 10th Floor
Portland, Oregon 97209-4128
(503) 727-2000

*Attorneys for Defendants Lattice
Semiconductor Corporation, Robin Abrams,
Brian Beattie, Darin G. Billerbeck, John
Bourgoin, Robert Herb, Mark Jensen, Jeff
Richardson, and Fred Weber*

OF COUNSEL:

JONES DAY

Stephen D. Hibbard
555 California Street, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 626-3939


DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

s/ John F. McGrory

John F. McGrory, Jr., OSB No. 81311
1300 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2400
Portland, Oregon 97201
(503) 778-5204

*Attorneys for Defendants Canyon Bridge
Capital Partners, Inc., Canyon Bridge
Acquisition Company, Inc., and Canyon
Bridge Merger Sub, Inc.*

IT IS SO ORDERED this 13th day of March, 2017.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE